



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,581	09/05/2006	Yassar Hassan Atef Abdel-Wahab	U0003/7017	5514
7590	04/14/2008		EXAMINER	
Patent Administrator Kirkpatrick & Lockhart Nicholson State Street Financial Center One Lincoln Street Boston, MA 02111-2950			CHANDRA, GYAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/579,581	ABDEL-WAHAB ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	GYAN CHANDRA	1646	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 April 2007.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 10-38 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) 10-38 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |                                                                                                              |                                                                   |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                             | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                         | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .                                    |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
|                                                                                                              | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                        |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Election/Restrictions***

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 10-13, 20-22, 29, and 30-38 as drawn to a peptide of SEQ ID NO: 1, or fragments thereof having at least 50% sequence identity, a pharmaceutical composition comprising the peptide with a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient and a method for stimulating insulin secretion and/or moderate glucose excursions comprising administering the same.

Group 2, claim(s) 10-27, 29, as drawn to a peptide selected from a group of polypeptides of SEQ ID NOs: 2-24, or fragments thereof having at least 50% sequence identity and a pharmaceutical composition comprising the peptide with a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient.

Group 3, claim(s) 28, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a polypeptide, or fragments thereof having at least 50% sequence identity and further comprising at least one or more pharmaceutical agent selected from sulfonylureas, meglitinides, metformin, and/or thiazolidinediones, or a mixture thereof.

Group 4, claim(s) 30-38, as drawn to a method for stimulating insulin secretion and/or moderate glucose excursions, the method comprising administering a peptide selected from SEQ ID NOs: 2-24 or a fragment thereof.

The inventions listed as Groups 1-4 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Group 1, requires the special technical features of a peptide of SEQ ID NO: 1, or fragments thereof having at least 50% sequence identity, a pharmaceutical composition comprising the peptide admixture with a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient and a

Art Unit: 1647

method for stimulating insulin secretion and/or moderate glucose excursions comprising administering the same, which is not required by the products of Groups 2-3.

Group 2, recites the special technical feature of a peptide selected from a group of polypeptides (SEQ ID NOs: 2-24, or fragments thereof having at least 50% sequence identity and a pharmaceutical composition comprising the peptide admixture with a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient, which is not required by the products of Groups 1 and 3.

Group 3, recites the special technical feature of a pharmaceutical composition comprising a polypeptide, or fragments thereof having at least 50% sequence identity and further comprising at least one or more pharmaceutical agent selected from sulfonylureas, meglitinides, metformin, and/or thiazolidinediones, or a mixture thereof, which is not required by the products of Groups 1-2.

Group 4, recites the special technical feature of stimulating insulin secretion and/or moderate glucose excursions, the method comprising administering a peptide selected from SEQ ID NOs: 2-24 or a fragment thereof.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai*, *In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** Further, note that the prohibition against double

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01

### **Further Restriction within Groups 2-4**

If Group 2, 3 or 4 is elected, a further restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372:

The inventions of Groups 2, 3 and 4 pertain to a number of peptides of SEQ ID NO: 2-24.

Each of the claimed polypeptide fragments are composed of amino acid units and are structurally distinct molecules. Each sequence requires a unique separate search of the prior art. Searching two claimed sequences would constitute an undue burden on the examiner and the USPTO's resource because of the non-coextensive nature of these searches. **Therefore, Applicant must choose 1 peptide sequence against which the search should be performed.**

### **Further Restriction within Group 3**

If Group 3 is elected, a further restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372:

The inventions of Group 3 pertain to a number of structurally unrelated agents e.g., sulfonylureas, meglitinides, metformin, and/or thiazolidinediones.

Each of the claimed agents are structurally distinct molecules. Each agent requires a unique separate search of the prior art. Searching two claimed agents would constitute an undue burden on the examiner and the USPTO's resource because of the non-

coextensive nature of these searches. **Therefore, Applicant must choose 1 agent against which the search should be performed.**

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

**Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.**

Art Unit: 1647

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

**If applicant elects Group 2 or 4, a single peptide sequence must be elected to be fully responsive. If Applicant elects Group 3, one peptide sequence and a single pharmaceutically active agent must also be elected to be fully responsive.**

**It is noted that the election of a peptide sequence or a pharmaceutically active agent for Group 2, 3, or 4 is a restriction election and not a species election.**

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1647

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gyan Chandra whose telephone number is (571) 272-2922. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Nickol can be reached on (571) 272-0835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gyan Chandra  
Art Unit 1646  
04 April 2008  
Fax: 571-273-2922

/Robert Landsman/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647